## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

In Re: Vitamins Antitrust Litigation	M.D.L. No. 1285
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	Misc. No. 99-0197 (TFH)
Hill's Pet Nutrition, Inc. v.	FLED
F. Hoffman-La Roche, Ltd., et al., Docket No. 00-4001-RDR	JUN 0 4 2002
	NANCY MAYER WHITTINGTON, CLERK

## STIPULATION CONCERNING RESPONSES TO HILL'S PET NUTRITION, INC.'S SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between the undersigned, that the answer, motion against, or other response of each defendant that has filed an answer, motion against or other response to either the Complaint or the First Amended Complaint in Hill's Pet Nutrition, Inc. v. F. Hoffman-La Roche, Ltd., et al. shall, at each defendant's option, be deemed filed and responsive to the Second Amended Complaint that was filed by Hill's Pet Nutrition on or about May 17, 2002 ("Second Amended Complaint"). Any opposition or other response which was filed by Hill's Pet Nutrition in response to those answers, motions against or other responses shall also be deemed filed.

On or before June 18, 2002, each such defendant may file a response to the Second Amended Complaint that incorporates that defendant's response to the Complaint and/or First Amended Complaint or that incorporates that defendant's response to either or both of those complaints and contains additional answers, defenses, or other responses as appropriate.

2125

All defenses, including specifically the defenses of lack of personal jurisdiction and insufficiency of process, are preserved.

Dated: May 30, 2002

Respectfully submitted,

BUTLER, RUBIN, SALTARELLI & BOYD

By:

ames A. Morsch

1800 Three First National Plaza

Chicago, IL 60602

(312) 444-9660

Counsel for Plaintiff Hill's Pet Nutrition, Inc.

GUILFOIL PETZALL & SHOEMAKE, L.L.C.

By:

Mary Ann Ohms

100 South Fourth Street, Suite 500

St. Louis, MO 63102-1822

(314) 241-6890

Counsel for DuCoa, L.P. and DCV, Inc.

SO ORDERED:

THOMAS F. HOGAN

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

non fine T